

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/560,717	12/15/2005	Freddy Roozeboom	NL 040226	8503	
65913 NXP. B.V.			EXAMINER		
NXP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & LICENSING			CHEN, E	CHEN, DAVID Z	
M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKAY	Y DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOSE, CA 95131			2815		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/03/2010	EL ECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/560,717 ROOZEBOOM ET AL Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit David Z. Chen 2815 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 April 2010. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-10 and 20-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.3.5-8.10 and 20-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2,4 and 9 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 15 December 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

5) T Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/560,717 Page 2

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to the Amendment filed on April 08, 2010.

The Examiner attempted to propose an examiner's amendment to the Applicants in a telephonic conversation on October 26, 2010, but failed to reach an agreement.

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: Electronic device, assembly and methods of manufacturing an electronic device comprising a single deposition layer.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 23 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,830,970 B2 to Gardes ("Gardes").

As to claim 23, Gardes discloses an electronic device comprising: a semiconductor substrate (11) having a first side and a second side; a plurality of trenches (21) on the first side of the substrate (11), each of the trenches (21) extending into the substrate (11) from the first side: conductive material (silicon, 25) lining each of

Art Unit: 2815

the trenches (21); a vertical interconnect (25) that extends through the substrate (11) from the first side to the second side, the vertical interconnect (25) having walls; a single deposition layer (24) of dielectric material on the first and second sides of the substrate (11), on the conductive material lining (silicon, 25) each of the trenches (21), and on the walls of the vertical interconnect (25) (See Fig. 2B, Fig. 2C, Fig. 2E, Column 2, lines 61-67, Column 3, lines 1-2, 24-63).

As to claim 25, Gardes further disclose wherein the vertical interconnect (25) includes a plurality of parallel trenches (See Fig. 3A).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

Art Unit: 2815

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 24 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,830,970 B2 to Gardes ("Gardes") as applied to claim 23 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2001/0005046 A1 to Hsuan et al. ("Hsuan"). The teaching of Gardes has been discussed above.

As to claim 24, although Gardes discloses wherein the vertical interconnect (25) has a first part and a second part, the first part extending from the first side of the substrate (11) to the second part, the second part extending from the second side of the substrate (11) to the first part (See Fig. 2E), Gardes does not further disclose wherein the second part being wider than the first part.

However, Hsuan does disclose the second part (56, 58, 60) being wider than the first part (42) (See Fig. 2H, ¶ 0033, ¶ 0035, ¶ 0036).

In view of the teaching of Hsuan, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Gardes with the teaching of Hsuan to have the second part being wider than the first part because the wider part allows the interconnect to accommodate a bump and chips coupled by

Art Unit: 2815

the bump have a shorter signal transmitting path and thus reducing electrical impedance (See ¶ 0048).

As to claim 26, Gardes further discloses wherein the first part of the vertical interconnect (25) includes a plurality of parallel trenches (25) each of which extends from the first side of the substrate (11) to the second part of the vertical interconnect (25) (See Fig. 2E, Fig. 3A).

6. Claims 1, 3, 5-8, 10, 20-23, 25, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 7,030,481 B2 to Chudzik et al. ("Chudzik") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6.025.226 to Gambino et al. ("Gambino").

As to claim 1, although Chudzik discloses an electronic device comprising a semiconductor substrate (200) having a first side and a second side; a vertical trench capacitor (3010) including a plurality of trenches in which dielectric material (3020) is present between the first (3080) and second (3030) conductive surfaces; and a vertical interconnect (410') that extends through the substrate (200) from the first side to the second side, the vertical interconnect (410') being insulated from the substrate (200) by dielectric material (420') (See Fig. 3b, Fig. 3c, Fig. 4b, Fig. 4c, Fig. 4d, Column 4, lines 43-54, Column 6, lines 13-37) (Note: the vertical interconnect is used as decoupling capacitor by using a high dielectric constant insulator, as in the trench capacitor, in the via as the capacitor dielectric), Chudzik does not specifically disclose wherein the dielectric material of the vertical interconnect and the dielectric material of the vertical trench capacitor being common material formed from a single deposition layer.

However, Gambino does disclose wherein the dielectric material (322) of the

Art Unit: 2815

vertical interconnect (350) and the dielectric material (322) of the vertical trench capacitor (360) being common material formed from a single deposition layer (322) (See Fig. 3, Fig. 6, Column 2, lines 36-42, Column 4, lines 5-15, Column 6, lines 18-53).

In view of the teaching of Gambino, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Chudzik with the teaching of Gambino to have wherein the dielectric material of the vertical interconnect and the dielectric material of the vertical trench capacitor being common material formed from a single deposition layer because a single deposition layer eliminates the need for an additional step to pattern the dielectric layer (See Column 2, lines 36-42, Column 6, lines 48-53).

As to claim 3, Chudzik further discloses characterized in that the vertical interconnect (410') includes a plurality of parallel trenches (410') each of which is substantially filled with electrically conductive material (410') (See Column 6, lines 13-25, additional 410' structures).

As to claim 5, Chudzik further discloses wherein contact pads (270) for coupling to an external carrier are present on the second side; a first vertical interconnect (410') is used for grounding and a second interconnect (410') is used for signal transmission (See Fig. 3c, Fig. 4c, Column 6, lines 13-37).

Further regarding claim 5, while features of an apparatus may be recited either structurally or functionally (used for grounding, used for signal transmission), claims directed to an apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function. In re Schreiber, 128 F.3d 1473, 1477-78, 44 USPQ2d 1429, 1431-

Art Unit: 2815

32 (Fed. Cir. 1997); see also In re Swinehart, 439 F.2d 210, 212-13, 169 USPQ 226, 228-29 (CCPA 1971); In re Danly, 263 F.2d 844, 847, 120 USPQ 528, 531 (CCPA 1959). "[A]ppratus claims cover what a device is, not what a device does." Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc., 909 F.2d 1464, 1469, 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1990). The structures of the first vertical interconnect and second interconnect are met by Chudzik.

As to claim 6, Chudzik further discloses wherein the first (410') and second (410') vertical interconnect are designed so as to form a coaxial structure (See Fig. 4c).

As to claim 7, Chudzik further discloses wherein that an integrated circuit is defined on the second side of the substrate (200) (Column 4, lines 19-35).

As to claim 8, Chudzik further discloses wherein the substrate (200) comprises a high-ohmic zone which is present adjacent to the vertical capacitors (3010) and acts as a protection against parasitic currents (See Column 6, lines 41-67).

Further regarding claim 8, while features of an apparatus may be recited either structurally or functionally (acts as a protection against parasitic currents), claims directed to an apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function. In re Schreiber, 128 F.3d 1473, 1477-78, 44 USPQ2d 1429, 1431-32 (Fed. Cir. 1997); see also In re Swinehart, 439 F.2d 210, 212-13, 169 USPQ 226, 228-29 (CCPA 1971); In re Danly, 263 F.2d 844, 847, 120 USPQ 528, 531 (CCPA 1959). "[A]ppratus claims cover what a device is, not what a device does." Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc., 909 F.2d 1464, 1469, 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1990). The structure of the high-ohmic zone is met by Chudzik.

Art Unit: 2815

As to claim 10, Chudzik discloses further comprising a semiconductor device (102), which semiconductor device (102) is electrically connected to bond pads (270) present on the first side of the substrate (200) (See Fig. 4c, Column 4, lines 19-35).

As to claim 20, although Chudzik discloses the dielectric material (3020) of the vertical trench capacitor (3010) and the dielectric material (420') of the vertical interconnect (410'), Chudzik does not further disclose wherein the dielectric material of the vertical trench capacitor and the dielectric material of the vertical interconnect are formed by depositing a layer of dielectric material on the substrate and partially etching the deposited layer of the dielectric material.

However, Gambino does disclose wherein the dielectric material (322) of the vertical trench capacitor (360) and the dielectric material (322) of the vertical interconnect (350) are formed by depositing a layer (322) of dielectric material on the substrate and partially etching the deposited layer (322) of dielectric material (See Fig. 3, Fig. 6, Fig. 7, Column 2, lines 36-42, Column 4, lines 5-15, Column 6, lines 18-53).

In view of the teaching of Gambino, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Chudzik with the teaching of Gambino to have wherein the dielectric material of the vertical trench capacitor and the dielectric material of the vertical interconnect formed by depositing a layer of dielectric material on the substrate and partially etching the deposited layer of the dielectric material because a single deposition layer eliminates the need for an additional step to pattern the dielectric layer (See Column 2, lines 36-42, Column 6, lines 48-53).

Art Unit: 2815

As to claim 21, although Chudzik discloses the dielectric material (3020) of the vertical trench capacitor (3010) and the dielectric material (420') of the vertical interconnect (410'), Chudzik does not further disclose wherein the dielectric material of the vertical trench capacitor and the dielectric material of the vertical interconnect are identical dielectric material formed from the single deposition layer.

However, Gambino does disclose wherein the dielectric material (322) of the vertical trench capacitor (360) and the dielectric material (322) of the vertical interconnect (350) are identical dielectric material formed from the single deposition layer (322) (See Fig. 3, Fig. 6, Fig. 7, Column 2, lines 36-42, Column 4, lines 5-15, Column 6, lines 18-53).

In view of the teaching of Gambino, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Chudzik with the teaching of Gambino to have wherein the dielectric material of the vertical trench capacitor and the dielectric material of the vertical interconnect being identical dielectric material formed from the single deposition layer because a single deposition layer eliminates the need for an additional step to pattern the dielectric layer (See Column 2, lines 36-42, Column 6, lines 48-53).

As to claim 22, although Chudzik discloses the vertical interconnect (410') is substantially filled with conductive material and the second (3030) conductive surface of the vertical trench capacitor (3010) (See Fig. 3c, Fig. 4c), Chudzik does not further disclose wherein the conductive material of the vertical interconnect and the second conductive surface of the vertical trench capacitor being formed from common material

Art Unit: 2815

of a single deposition layer of conductive material.

However, Gambino does disclose wherein the conductive material (324) of the vertical interconnect (350) and the second conductive surface (324) of the vertical trench capacitor (360) being formed from common material of a single deposition layer (324) of conductive material (See Fig. 7, Fig. 8, Column 6, lines 54-67, Column 7, lines 1-4).

In view of the teaching of Gambino, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Chudzik with the teaching of Gambino to have wherein the conductive material of the vertical interconnect and the second conductive surface of the vertical trench capacitor being formed from common material of a single deposition layer of conductive material because a single deposition layer eliminates the need for an additional step to pattern the dielectric layer and the conductive layer that results in a cost saving process (See Column 2, lines 36-42, Column 6, lines 54-67, Column 7, lines 1-4).

As to claim 23, although Chudzik discloses an electronic device comprising a semiconductor substrate (200) having a first side and a second side; a plurality of trenches (3010) on the first side of the substrate (200), each of the trenches (3010) extending into the substrate (200) from the first side; conductive material (3080) lining each of the trenches (3010); a vertical interconnect (410') that extends through the substrate (200) from the first side to the second side, the vertical interconnect (410') having walls; being insulated from the substrate (200) by dielectric material (420') (See Fig. 3b, Fig. 3c, Fig. 4b, Fig. 4c, Fig. 4d, Column 4, lines 43-54, Column 6, lines 13-37)

Art Unit: 2815

(Note: the vertical interconnect is used as decoupling capacitor by using a high dielectric constant insulator, as in the trench capacitor, in the via as the capacitor dielectric), Chudzik does not specifically disclose a single deposition layer of dielectric material on the first and second sides of the substrate, on the conductive material lining each of the trenches, and on the walls of the vertical interconnect.

However, Gambino does disclose a single deposition layer (322) of dielectric material on the first and second sides of the substrate, on the conductive material lining (310) of the trench, and on the walls of the vertical interconnect (350) (See Fig. 3, Fig. 6, Column 2, lines 36-42, Column 4, lines 5-15, Column 6, lines 18-53).

In view of the teaching of Gambino, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Chudzik with the teaching of Gambino to have a single deposition layer of dielectric material on the first and second sides of the substrate, on the conductive material lining each of the trenches, and on the walls of the vertical interconnect because a single deposition layer eliminates the need for an additional step to pattern the dielectric layer (See Column 2, lines 36-42, Column 6, lines 48-53).

As to claim 25, Chudzik further discloses wherein the vertical interconnect (410') includes a plurality of parallel trenches (410', 210, 260) (See Column 5, lines 23-28, Column 6, lines 13-25).

As to claim 27, Chudzik further discloses wherein the plurality of trenches (3010) form a vertical trench capacitor (See Fig. 3c, Fig. 4c, Column 4, lines 43-54).

Art Unit: 2815

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S.
Patent No. 7,030,481 B2 to Chudzik et al. ("Chudzik") in view of U.S. Patent No.
6,830,970 B2 to Gardes ("Gardes").

As to claim 1, although Chudzik discloses an electronic device comprising a semiconductor substrate (200) having a first side and a second side; a vertical trench capacitor (3010) including a plurality of trenches in which dielectric material (3020) is present between the first (3080) and second (3030) conductive surfaces; and a vertical interconnect (410') that extends through the substrate (200) from the first side to the second side, the vertical interconnect (410') being insulated from the substrate (200) by dielectric material (420') (See Fig. 3b, Fig. 3c, Fig. 4b, Fig. 4c, Fig. 4d, Column 4, lines 43-54, Column 6, lines 13-37) (Note: the vertical interconnect is used as decoupling capacitor by using a high dielectric constant insulator, as in the trench capacitor, in the via as the capacitor dielectric), Chudzik does not specifically disclose wherein the dielectric material of the vertical interconnect and the dielectric material of the vertical trench capacitor being common material formed from a single deposition layer.

However, Gardes does disclose wherein the dielectric material (24) of the vertical interconnect (25) and the dielectric material (24) of a plurality of trenches (21) being common material formed from a single deposition layer (24) (See Fig. 2B, Column 3, lines 24-38).

In view of the teaching of Gardes, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Chudzik with the teaching of Gardes to have wherein the dielectric material of the vertical

Art Unit: 2815

interconnect and the dielectric material of the vertical trench capacitor being common material formed from a single deposition layer because a single deposition layer enables obtaining of a same insulator on the walls of the trenches and via and thus obtaining the same electric qualities. Further, it is not necessary to have an additional step of protection of one of the two structures (See Column 3, lines 24-38).

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 2, 4, and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Z. Chen whose telephone number is (571) 270-7438. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 AM-4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kenneth Parker can be reached on (571) 272-2298. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/560,717 Page 14

Art Unit: 2815

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Matthew E Warren/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2815 /D. Z. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 2815